

Examination of psychiatrist ability to evaluate “delusions” in an informed, unbiased and professional manner

May 14, 2016 draft

This document is intended to serve as a guide for lawyers and/or patients who wish to evaluate the potential ability of a mental health professional to evaluate claims of individuals with factual claims which may be misdiagnosed as having “delusional disorders” or “schizophrenia”.

In short, it proposes a line of questioning with some expected answers, which would discredit the professional opinion of any mental health professional who received certain types of complaints and immediately proceeded to a diagnosis of “delusional disorders” or “schizophrenia”.

Dr. Love, in this script, is an expert witness who has been brought to share his opinion of the mental health status of a targeted individual.

Lawyer to judge: Please forgive me for a few moments. I need to ask a series of questions pertaining to Dr. Love’s knowledge of certain phenomena which have been understood in principle since the WWII era and which were proven in peer-reviewed science journals as early as the 1970s, over 40 years ago. It is possible that Dr. Love lacks the specific expertise to be able to credibly evaluate the complaints being made by my client.

Lawyer (beginning): Dr. Love, are you aware of existence of the Frey effect, or the microwave auditory effect?

Quack: No. I’m not sure what the relevance is.

Lawyer: Are you aware of the fact that radar operators in WWII often report hearing clicks in their head during WWII?

Quack: No. I’m not sure what the relevance is.

Lawyer: OK. Perhaps you are aware of the work of Dr. Alan Frey, who, as published in the peer-reviewed science journal “Journal of Applied Psychology” in 1962, demonstrated the ability to use modulated pulses of microwaves, such as those used in radar. He was able to use these pulses, basically along the same lines of how digital sound is encoded, to transmit a perception of sounds, from a distance, into the minds of test subjects. Are you aware of this ability to remotely transmit sounds via microwave transmissions?

Quack: It sounds like science fiction to me. I highly doubt that these reports can be taken credibly. You know, it is often found that some research is later found to be incorrect.

Lawyer: Back to the microwave auditory effect. Let me explain to you what this means. The microwave auditory effect basically allows remote transmission of auditory perceptions, what appears to be a “sound” within the head, into someone’s head without requiring that an actual sound wave arrives at the ear. This is done using electromagnetic waves – some examples of electromagnetic waves are radio waves, microwaves, light waves, gamma rays, and so on, and are found in common appliances such as microwave ovens and radios, and are also used in ALL mobile phone transmissions and WIFI . In short, certain frequencies of electromagnetic waves, generally in the range of several hundred MHz or higher, can be pulsed, similar to the way that analog sound is transformed into digital sound. These pulsed waves arrive at the head, and create a sort of rippling effect through the tissues of the brain and ultimately arrives at the ear, and the ear perceives this as sound. The ability to remotely transmit voices into someone’s head, from a distance, was proven in 1973 by one Dr. Joseph Sharp in 1973, as published in the peer-reviewed science journal “American Psychologist” in 1975. Are you aware of any research on this subject?

Quack: No. I’m not sure that these experiments can be taken credibly.

Lawyer: Are you aware of the demonstrated interest of the US Army to perform research in the area of remotely transmitting sounds and ideas into the heads of soldiers, and to remotely retrieve images, thoughts, etc., from their minds?

Quack: I'm not privy to such information. And anyways, it all sounds like a bunch of hokey pokey nonsense to me. But either way, I cannot imagine what that could possibly have to do with the situation of Mr. Delusional.

Lawyer: Perhaps you are not convinced by the research performed more than 40 years ago. How about something more recent: are you aware of an article entitled "Hearing of microwave pulses by humans and animals: effects, mechanism, and thresholds", published in a peer-review scientific journal, *Health Physics*, in 2007?

Quack: No.

Lawyer: Not only does the research demonstrated in that publication prove what we already knew generations ago, in the civilian sector, that the microwave auditory effect is real, it also proceeds to demonstrate, in the civilian sector, the energy levels and wave frequencies at which these effects of remotely transmitting sound into the mind of targets can be achieved. Do you believe that this is possible?

Quack: I'm not sure. I really doubt it.

Lawyer: Are you suggesting that the highly respected publications, "American Psychologist" and "Health Physics" are producing fraudulent research?

Quack: No. Not at all. But, you know, mistakes are made sometimes.

Lawyer: Can you tell us anything about the transmission of nerve signals, specifically, the biochemistry involved in transmitting signals from one nerve cell to another?

Quack: (Some lame explanation, perhaps making some reference to calcium)

Lawyer: Are you aware that it has been demonstrated since the 1970s that electromagnetic waves, the same types of waves used to achieve the microwave auditory effect which remotely transmits voices into the heads of targets, can be used to influence this communication between nerve cells in the brain by affecting the flows of calcium within these cells, as demonstrated by Doctors Bawin and Adey and published in 1976 in the "Proceedings of the *National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*" – or, that more advanced research performed, in 1982, about 35 years ago, by Doctors Lin-Liu and Adey in xxx demonstrated specific knowledge of the wavelengths and treatments at which such effects could be elicited?

Quack: No. what does that have to do with anything?

Lawyer: You see, this demonstrates that there has been scientific evidence of, and a demonstrated ability to affect processes in the brain, and also to transmit voices into people's heads remotely, from a distance, for over 40 years. Do you believe that these technologies are possible?

Quack: I suppose they might be. I would have to review the papers myself to be sure.

Lawyer: Let me try this from a different angle. If an individual is brought to you, for whatever reason, and they report to you that some is, say, "beaming voices into their heads" or "remotely influencing their thoughts", what is your GUESS for a diagnosis?

Quack: Well, it's not at all a guess. They are obviously they are delusional. Delusions such as hearing voices are a classic sign of schizophrenia.

Lawyer: And what is the basis upon which this has been determined?

Quack: This is a clearly established diagnostic tool as established by the American Psychological Association, the highest world standard for diagnostic criteria in psychology.

Lawyer: Are you aware of any scientific evidence which offers any explanation for defining “hearing voices”, for example explaining any processes in the brain by which we might understand them to be a product of dysfunctional processes in the brain?

Quack: Well, clearly people who hear voices are delusional, so this is one of the first and most obvious indicators that a patient is psychotic, delusional or schizophrenic.

Lawyer: Yet, I have just explained to you that there is a long-standing history of scientific evidence and demonstrated technological ability to do precisely this. Does it concern you that you might be diagnosing individuals who are entrusted to your care, as mentally ill, when in fact there are existing technologies which may explain all of this? Since you are unaware of these technologies, might you like to venture a guess as to how many individuals you have forced into medication against their will, issued reputation destroying diagnoses of mental illness, and so on, without even BOTHERING to consider whether there might be truth to their claims?

Quack: I consider it as highly unlikely. I engage in extensive careful evaluation with patients, and normally this is just part of a pattern where the patient exhibits numerous symptoms of delusional thinking.

Lawyer: Please go on. Tell us about these symptoms of delusional thinking.

Quack: They tend to make many irrational claims, have disordered thinking, difficulty putting together thoughts, are likely to believe that every little thing is a conspiracy against them, they hear voices, they have visual hallucinations, strange urges, etc. Certainly, I do not issue a diagnosis such as that lightly. Moreover, the patients eventually respond to the treatments, which shows that in fact they had defective mental processes, considering that they respond to the treatment.

Lawyer: If an individual who has been entrusted to your care describes some situation where they feel that there is some sort of “conspiracy to get them”, how do you approach this?

Quack: I ask them about the sorts of things that they claim to be happening to them. Generally, they exhibit many patterns of delusional and disordered thinking, including hallucinations, which contributes to the diagnosis.

Lawyer: So, it sounds to me like you’re saying that you’re willing to diagnose someone as psychotic, delusional or schizophrenic, based on reports by the victim, which can perfectly well be explained by technologies which have been in existence for over 40 years, without even attempting to evaluate whether this might apply to the specific individual who has been entrusted to you?

Quack: Not at all. As I mentioned, I discuss the matter extensively with the patient to evaluate their state of mind, etc. A key factor is that there is absolutely no reason that anyone would want to target the patient, so it is clear that they are suffering from some sort of psychotic disorder.

Lawyer: Let me go in an altogether different direction with this. Given the reality that these technologies exist, and the potential difficulties in studying them, can you suggest a means by which a scientist might approach studying the abilities to use such technologies to influence processes in the brain?

Quack: I’m sorry, that’s not my area of expertise.

Lawyer: Do you believe that citizens who have been diagnosed as mentally ill are prone to be vulnerable, for example because any claims they make will be considered as lies, delusions, conspiratorial thinking, etc.? More to the point, does it not seem obvious to you that any such diagnosis would render these patients highly vulnerable to experimentation of these technologies, perhaps by Russians, Chinese, or even rogue agents acting illegally within our own government?

Quack: Sir, I think you might actually be in need of a mental evaluation yourself.

Lawyer: Let me try a different sort of question. Dr. Love, do you have any awareness of any research which demonstrates proven abilities to use electromagnetic waves to influence thinking processes,

emotional states, or to introduce voices into the minds of individuals who may be targeted by such technologies? For example, the LIDA machine which as early as the 1950s was demonstrated by the Russians to be able to remotely put people to sleep or into a relaxed state? Or research from the 1970s which demonstrates these abilities? Or, more recent research in the civilian sector, for example that produced by civilian researchers published this year, 2016, by a team led by one Dr. Huth, and published in the scientific journal “nature”, which demonstrates the ability to decode brain activity to create maps of words associated with specific thinking process, regardless of whether the words are spoken out loud, in the mind, or just appear as non-word thoughts? Let me remind you of the specific question. Are you aware of the scientific literature which exists to prove that electromagnetic waves can be used to influence processes in the brain, such as relating to words, other thought processes, images, and so on?

Quack: Perhaps there is some interesting research in that area. But it is not my area of specialization, and I cannot keep up on all areas of specialization – you know, the brain is a very complex thing.

Lawyer: So you’re telling me that you have no expertise relating to the experiences described by Mr. Delusional, yet in practically the same breath are claiming expert professional opinion with regard to his situation, having claimed it to be OBVIOUS signs of delusion, psychotic disorders, perhaps schizophrenia, a diagnosis which has precisely zero basis in scientific observation or evidence. Which is it Dr. Love, do you know nothing about this subject or are you an expert witness?


Quack: (uncomfortable... perhaps some mumbled answer or deflection)

Lawyer: Sounds to me like you’re not very comfortable answering that question. I suggest that you go back to school, or perhaps open up some journals to learn about things that have been scientifically proven for over 40 years, and develop an understanding of existing technologies which explain the experiences of at least SOME people who have been entrusted to your care and for whom you may have issued false diagnoses, resulting in extreme loss of faith in society at large. Clearly Dr. Love does not possess the requisite knowledge to evaluate the potential credibility of these claims. He is operating from a checkbox list to perform diagnoses in the absence of any relevant scientific or relevant medical knowledge whatsoever which demonstrates non-delusional explanations for observations and experiences reported by people he is submitting to forced medication. I have no further questions your honour. My hope is that Dr. Love is nothing more than a useful idiot who actually wants to do his best in service of society, and clearly he needs to hit the books before his OPINIONS on this subject can be considered to have any credibility whatsoever.

[Note: discussion of projecting/triggering images, thought influencing processes, remote neural monitoring, etc. was not discussed here. In the case of a professional witness who is not a useful idiot, but is in fact complicit and well prepared to deflect all related questions, a more extensive preparation in relation to scientific evidence would be needed, in addition to innuendo which suggests complicity on the part of that type of men and women in white jackets in terms of unconditionally diagnosing as mentally ill any individual who believes any arm of the state might be involved in harassing them]

Prepared by: N Weatherdon

The copyright terms are according to the Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International license. In short, you can directly use and/or adapt this including for commercial purposes, so long as the source is mentioned.



Published by the Anti-Brainwashing League (ABL),
2016
www.A-B-L.org.html